

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

---

In the Matter of the Application of Rocky	)	
Mountain Power for Authority to Increase	)	Docket No. 07-035-93
Its Retail Electric Utility Service Rates in	)	Pre-filed Direct
Utah and for Approval of Its Proposed	)	Test Year Testimony of
Electric Service Schedules and Electric	)	Cheryl Murray
Service Regulations, Consisting of a	)	For the Committee of
General Rate Increase of Approximately	)	Consumer Services
\$161.2 Million Per Year, and for Approval	)	
of a New Large Load Surcharge	)	

---

January 25, 2008

1        **INTRODUCTION**

2        **Q.    WHAT IS YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION AND BUSINESS ADDRESS?**

3        A.    My name is Cheryl Murray. I am a utility analyst on the staff of the  
4           Committee of Consumer Services (Committee). My business address is  
5           160 East 300 South Salt Lake City, Utah.

6        **Q.    WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

7        A.    I present the Committee's policy position regarding the timing of the Public  
8           Service Commission's (Commission) determination of the test year.

9        **Q.    WILL YOUR TESTIMONY ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE'S**

10       **RECOMMENDATION OF THE APPROPRIATE TEST YEAR FOR THIS**  
11       **RATE CASE?**

12       A.    No, it will not. The Committee has retained the services of Larkin &  
13           Associates, PLLC to analyze Rocky Mountain Power's (Company)  
14           application for a rate increase. Donna DeRonne, a CPA with that firm, will  
15           present the Committee's position regarding the appropriate test year for  
16           this case.

17       **Q.    WHAT IS THE COMMITTEE'S POSITION REGARDING**

18       **DETERMINATION OF THE TEST YEAR TO BE USED?**

19       A.    It is the Committee's position that the Commission should make a  
20           determination and order on the test year to be used in this case very early  
21           in these proceedings. Utah Code Section 54-4-4(3) plainly allows the  
22           Commission to make this decision at this time. The Commission's  
23           scheduling order dated December 27, 2007 in this docket clearly lays out

24 a schedule for determination of test year issues. Although it does not  
25 specify a date by which a test year order will be issued, the schedule for  
26 determining the test year is separate from and prior to hearings on the  
27 other issues in the rate case.

28

29 Based upon the scheduling conference and the Commission's scheduling  
30 order, the Committee understood that the early resolution of the test year  
31 issue was a preliminary but also necessary step to allow all parties to  
32 more effectively examine the revenue requirement issues and present  
33 their cases more efficiently. The Committee planned its case accordingly.

34 **Q. WHY DOES THE COMMITTEE BELIEVE THAT RESOLVING THE TEST**  
35 **YEAR EARLY IS IMPORTANT?**

36 A. There are a number of reasons why early resolution of test year is  
37 important. As mentioned above, early resolution of the issue will certainly  
38 provide more efficient utilization of parties' resources. Additionally, the  
39 Commission will be better able to determine the appropriate rates for the  
40 rate effective period since costs and revenues will be matched. Ms.  
41 DeRonne will provide more detail on the importance of both of these  
42 issues in her direct testimony.

43 **Q. ARE THERE POLICY IMPLICATIONS IF THE COMMISSION DOES**  
44 **NOT ORDER ON TEST YEAR EARLY IN THE PROCEEDINGS?**

45 A. Yes, the Committee believes that there are. The Committee is concerned  
46 that if the Commission does not determine the appropriate test year for

47           this case early on, then at any point in the case a party may present an  
48           alternate test year that it determines better represents its interests.  
49           Logistically it would be impossible for participants in the case to  
50           adequately respond to multiple test years filed at different intervals in the  
51           proceedings. Based on the Commission's scheduling order it is apparent  
52           that the option to present a test year proposal should be conducted in that  
53           portion of the case and the issue closed following the test year hearing.

54   **Q.   DOES THE COMMITTEE BELIEVE THAT A TEST YEAR**  
55       **DETERMINATION IN THIS RATE CASE WOULD SET A PRECEDENT**  
56       **FOR FUTURE RATE CASES?**

57   A.   No. The Committee recognizes that the applicable statute allows the  
58       Commission to select from a number of options in determining the  
59       appropriate test year. The Committee believes the test year that best  
60       reflects any rate effective period may vary depending on circumstances at  
61       the time. With each rate case filing it is the responsibility and burden of  
62       the Company to provide evidence to support any test year that it contends  
63       is best reflective of the rate effective period for that case.

64   **Q.   DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY ON TEST YEAR ISSUES?**

65   A.   Yes.